# Consumers' willingness to pay for labelled and certified moringa products in Ogun State, Nigeria

\*Obayelu, O. A., Adeoti, A. I. and Akinlade, A. A.

Department of Agricultural Economics, University of Ibadan, Nigeria

#### Article history

Received: 15 August 2013 Received in revised form: 1 June 2014 Accepted: 25 July 2014

#### **Keywords**

Moringa WTP Attitude Perception

#### **Abstract**

Major resources are being committed to moringa products innovation and value added production, yet considerable uncertainties still exist regarding public perception of moringa products in terms of labelling and certification. Consequently, understanding consumers' behaviour will be important if the impact of moringa products on nutrition is to be realized. This study therefore assessed consumers' perceptions and attitude towards labelled and certified moringa products (tea, spice and oil) and also identified factors influencing their willingness to pay for these products in Ogun State Nigeria. A multistage sampling technique was employed to elicit information from 150 randomly selected respondents within Abeokuta South and Abeokuta North Local Government Areas of Ogun State. Contingent valuation method was used to obtain premiums that consumers are willing to pay for labelled moringa products. Descriptive statistics and the logit regression model were used to analyse the data. Overall, attitude towards moringa products, attitude towards labelling and certification; current purchasing and consumption patterns significantly affected the respondents' willingness to pay a premium for the labelled and certified moringa products.

© All Rights Reserved

#### Introduction

One-third of the world's population suffers from micronutrient deficiencies, owing primarily to inadequate dietary intake (Fielder and Macdonald, 2009). Micronutrient deficiency is rampant in Nigeria with the country being the third highest with absolute number of children who are stunted (Mitchell, 2010). Globally in 2011, more than one quarter (26 per cent) of children under 5 years of age were stunted, 16 per cent of children under 5 years of age were underweight, and about 8% of children under the age of five were wasted (UNICEF-WHO-World Bank,2012). Many local foods, fruits, and plants have been reported to be good sources of micronutrients. They are available in abundance and very low-priced. Consumption of varieties of local foodstuffs will help the children have adequate nutrient stores especially during their season when the fruits, vegetables and foodstuffs are usually wasted due to poor storage facilities (Uchendu, 2011). Moringa is now seen as a very simple and readily available solution to the problem of malnutrition and health improvement. It has a local resource and its supply is not seasonal (Fuglie, 2001).

Moringa (Moringa oleifera) is a grubby-looking tree of which all its parts are edible. Moringa leaf is a natural antihelmintic, antibiotic, detoxifer and an outstanding immune builder used in for the treatment of malnutrition and malaria (Thilza et al., 2010). Moringa leaves contain more Vitamin A than carrots; more calcium than milk; more iron than spinach; more vitamin C than oranges; more potassium than bananas; and the protein quality of moringa leaves rivals that of milk and eggs (Fahey, 2005). The leaves can be consumed raw, cooked like spinach or made into a powder that can be added to sauces, soups or chowders. The new leaves have a tendency to appear towards the end of the dry season when few other sources of green leafy vegetables are available. Also, the leaves can be easily dried into powder form for use as a nutritional supplement for sauces or as an addition to infant weaning foods (Fuglie, 2001). The young, green pods can be eaten whole and are comparable in taste to asparagus. The older pods can be used for their seeds, which can be prepared as peas or roasted and eaten like peanuts. Moringa seeds can also be processed in to cooking oil. Absolutely no negative effects to daily consumption of moringa leaves and seeds have ever been reported (Marcu, 2005). The flowers which bloom around eight months after the tree is planted, can be eaten fried and have the taste and texture of mushrooms. In Hawaii, the flowers are used to make a tea that cures colds. In addition to this, the flowers are a year- round source of nectar and can be used by beekeepers (El-Awady,



## 2003).

Owing to the increased interest in improving or maintaining health in a proactive and convenient approach (de Jong et al., 2003), consumers have become more concerned about the nutrition, health, and quality of food they eat (Gil et al., 2000). This has made certification and labeling of moringa products a topical issue in the successful marketing of its products. Driven by increasing consumer demand for healthier, safer, and more environmentally friendly food products, the use of food labeling has become increasingly important in recent years (Adesope et al., 2010). The use of credible labels allows firms to signal quality or the presence of specific desirable attributes, and in so doing to create the potential for premiums based on this signal (Mark-Herbert, 2003). Food labels could be a possible answer to the imperfect information dilemma in food safety. This is because quality signaling through product labeling promotes market incentives with relatively limited government involvement (Caswell and Padberg, 1992; Caswell and Mojduszka, 1996). The excess prices paid over and above the "fair" price (premiums) that is justified by the "true" value of the product (Vlosky et al., 1999; Radam, 2010), may be indicators of consumers' demand for that product (Tse, 2001). Demand for moringa products could therefore be attributed to consumers' health concerns and food quality/safety consciousness. Thus, Willingness to Pay (WTP) for moringa products can be a good predictor of their demand.

Most existing literature studies on moringa Nigeria focused on phytochemical and in physicochemical analyses (Anjorin et al., 2010; Ogbunugafor et al., 2011; Oluduro, 2012; Nwaiwu and Lingmu, 2012; Ogbe and Affiku, 2012). To the best knowledge of the authors, the only known socioeconomic study on moringa in Nigeria was conducted by Torimiro et al. (2009). The study used analysis of variance to examine the gender gaps in the level of awareness and propagation of Moringa oleifera; and to compare their willingness to adopt innovation on moringa. The study concluded that the gender differential gaps could reverse the gains of innovations on moringa by limiting the adoption of innovation and propagation of the plant among the farm families. Owing to a dearth of socioeconomic study on moringa in Nigeria, this study assessed consumers' perception and attitude toward labelled and certified moringa product as well as analyse the willingness to pay (WTP) for three moringa products (tea, spice/powder and oil), thus enriching literature on the subject matter in Nigeria.

#### **Materials and Methods**

Abeokuta is the capital city of Ogun State, Nigeria and occupies a total land area of 879 square kilometers with a population density of 513.77 persons per square kilometer. The city is made up of two Local Government Areas (Abeokuta South and Abeokuta North LGAs). Abeokuta South has a total population of 250,278 while Abeokuta North has a total population of 210,329 (NPC, 2006). A multistage sampling technique was employed to obtain data for the study. The first stage was the purposive selection of Abeokuta South and Abeokuta North Local Government Areas (LGAs) of Ogun State. Both LGAs were selected because they are the most urbanized LGAs in Ogun State and the seat of Government in Ogun State. Based on the National Population Census (2006), respondents were randomly selected proportionate to size. A total of 150 respondents were selected (83 from Abeokuta South and 67 from Abeokuta North). The contingent valuation method (CVM) was used to elicit consumers' willingness to pay (WTP) for the three commonest moringa products (moringa tea, moringa spice/powder and moringa oil).

The benefit of food safety is a non-market value, and it is difficult to get the information of the revealed preferences of consumers. We adopted the stated preference methods to assess the value, of which the contingent valuation method (CVM) is the most important and also the most popular one (Zhang et al., 2003). Different CV methods have been used to elicit willingness to pay for moringa products. Dichotomous and double-bounded dichotomous choice questions techniques are easily applicable to valuation of the moringa products. In this case, consumers were typically confronted with whether they would be willing to pay a price above the current market price for labelled and certified moringa products (Yes or No). If an individual responded is positive to the first question, a subsequent openended question was posed for the price the consumer would be willing to pay for labelled and certified moringa products.

The logit model was used to explain the loglikelihood of willingness to pay because of its comparative mathematical simplicity and asymptotic characteristics which constrained the predicted probabilities to a range of zero to one. Logit model was used to identify the correlates of WTP as specified by Branka and Kelly (2001), Yusuf *et al.* (2005) and Adepoju and Omonona (2009):

$$Logit (P_i) = \log (P_i/1 - P1_i) = \alpha + \beta X_i$$
(i)

where  $P_i$  = likelihood of WTP;  $\alpha$  = intercept parameter;  $\beta$  = vector of slope parameters; and  $X_i$  = vector of explanatory variables. Explicitly, the effect of the different factors on consumers' willingness to pay for these foods using a logit regression model is expressed as:

WTP = f(household demographic characteristics (age, sex, maristat, educat, hhsize, income dist and hhmpcexdist), knownh, awarem, hhistory, perceptor, pattern, attitmor, perceptab, attitudelab).

The composite indices are: Knowledge of nutrition and health (KNOWNH), Awareness of moringa products (AWAREM), Current consumption patterns (PATTERN), attitude towards moringa products (ATTITMOR), attitude towards labelling (ATTITUDELAB), perception of labelling and certification (PERCEPLAB) and perception of moringa products index (PERCEPMOR) were derived by averaging the responses to each of the corresponding items (Moon and Balasubramanian, 2004; House et al., 2004). These scores could be any value between two limits. For example the summated score for ATTITMOR could range between 1 (least positive attitude) and 5 (most positive attitude). The variable HHISTORY captured people's health condition as well as their concern for various nutritional diseases. Different variables were used to measure and represent the effect of one's state of health on WTP for labelled and certified moringa products.

The demographic characteristics variables include age of the respondents (AGE), sex of the respondents (SEX), marital status of the respondents (MARISTAT), education attainment of the respondents (EDUCAT), household size of the respondents (HHSIZE), unit price (PRICE) and per capita monthly expenditure (HHMPCEXDIST). The expected signs of all the variables are presented in the Appendix.

#### Results

Results showed that majority (86 percent) of the respondents were below 50 years of age, with the mean age of all the interviewed consumers being 38.89 years (Table 1). A higher proportion of the respondents (55.3 percent) were males. Majority of the consumers were married (77.3 percent) and had four to seven members (67.4 percent). The results further show that almost all the consumers had tertiary education (92.7 percent). Tertiary education is the attainment of post-secondary education including National Diploma, National Certificate of Education, Higher National Diploma and University education.

Most of the respondents were gainfully employed (95.3 percent) with 54 percent in the civil service and 24.7 percent were working as professionals in private organisations.

This study also sought to evaluate consumers' current consumption habits and purchasing patterns using seven questions. Question one asked the respondents to rank nutritional content of the food, price of the food, taste or flavour of the food, perceived safety of the food as well as brand name and convenience according to the importance of each factor in influencing purchasing decisions. About 20 percent of the respondents ranked safety as the most important factor influencing their purchasing decisions (Table 2) while only 0.7 percent ranked it as the least important. Nutritional content is the factor that most respondents (62.7 percent) consider most important in influencing their purchasing decisions while only 0.7 percent of the respondents indicated convenience as the most important factor in influencing their purchasing decisions (Figure 1). Respondents' perception of a link between labelling and safety was also measured by a question that required respondents to give a "Yes" or "No" answer to the question of whether they perceive labelled and certified moringa products to be safer than the unlabelled and uncertified. The available choices also included an "I don't know" option to allow for the possibility of lack of an opinion. 74.7 percent of the respondents believed that labelled and certified moringa products are safer than the unlabelled and uncertified (Table 4). The "I don't know" option was transformed to "No" from which the perception of labelling and certification index (PERCEPLAB) was formed where 0 represents negative perception and 1 represents positive perception.





Figure 1. Factors influencing purchasing decision

Results further present the distribution of consumers based on their attitudes towards labelling

| Casiasaana             |                     | Frequency | Percentage |
|------------------------|---------------------|-----------|------------|
| Socioeconomic          |                     | (N = 150) |            |
| Age (years)            | 20-29               | 33        | 22.0       |
|                        | 30-39               | 34        | 22.7       |
|                        | 40-49               | 62        | 41.3       |
|                        | >50                 | 21        | 14.0       |
| Sex                    | Male                | 83        | 55.3       |
|                        | Female              | 67        | 44.7       |
| Marital status         | Single              | 31        | 20.7       |
|                        | Married             | 116       | 77.3       |
|                        | Widow               | 1         | 0.7        |
|                        | Divorced            | 2         | 1.3        |
| Household size         | 1-3                 | 41        | 27.3       |
|                        | 4-7                 | 101       | 67.4       |
|                        | 8-10                | 8         | 5.3        |
| Employment status      | Unemployed          | 7         | 4.7        |
|                        | Employed            | 143       | 95.3       |
| Religion               | Christianity        | 105       | 70.0       |
| 3                      | Islam               | 44        | 29.3       |
|                        | Others              | 1         | 0.7        |
| Educational attainment | Primary             | 1         | 0.7        |
|                        | Secondary           | 10        | 6.7        |
|                        | Tertiary            | 139       | 92.7       |
| Primary household      | Yes                 | 108       | 72.0       |
| shopper                | No                  | 42        | 28.0       |
| Primary occupation     | Professional        | 37        | 24.7       |
|                        | Artisan             | 5         | 3.3        |
|                        | Trader              | 7         | 4.7        |
|                        | Civil service       | 81        | 54.0       |
|                        | Farming             | 6         | 4.0        |
|                        | Transportation      | 2         | 1.3        |
|                        | Others              | 12        | 8.0        |
| Household per capita   | < ? 10.000          | 33        | 22.0       |
| monthly expenditure    | 10.001 - 7 20.000   | 65        | 43.3       |
| mentiny experiature    | ₹ 20,001 - 7 30,000 | 34        | 22.7       |
|                        | 7 30.001 - 7 40.000 | 12        | 8.0        |
|                        | # 40.001 - 7 50.000 | 4         | 2.7        |
|                        | 50,001 - ? 60,000   | 2         | 1.3        |

Table 1. Distribution of consumers by socioeconomic characteristics

and certification (ATTITUDELAB). About 28.7 percent of the respondents always read nutrition while 14.0 percent never read labels and certification. Thus, about 54.7 percent of the consumers care (always or at least most times) about labels and certification. Majority of them preferred labelled and certified moringa products to the unlabelled and uncertified (72.0 percent) and could not consume unlabelled and uncertified moringa products (66.7 percent). Further, 63.3 percent of the respondents claimed that labelling and certification affects their purchase price decisions. About 41.3 percent of the respondents prefered imported moringa products to the local ones. This suggests that the consumers have high level of confidence in the locally made moringa products and this has implication for boosting the local production and market of the products. The reason for the consumers' preference for locally made moringa products is embedded in their trust in local certification agencies, especially National Agency for Food and Drug Administration and Control (NAFDAC), as shown in Table 2. The study further assessed consumers' willingness to pay for three labelled and certified moringa products: moringa tea, moringa spice powder and moringa oil. Results showed that half of the respondents were willing to pay a premium for certified moringa tea and moringa oil respectively while 48.9 percent of them were willing to pay a premium for moringa spice/powder (Table 2). On the average, respondents expressed willingness to pay a premium of № 48.33 (48.33 percent premium) for labelled and certified moringa spice/powder; № 64.80 (9.97 percent premium) for labelled and certified moringa oil and № 58.13 (11.63

percent premium) for labelled and certified moringa tea over the unlabelled and uncertified.

Packaging is an important aspect of marketing and could largely influence the acceptability of any product by its consumers. Results indicated that a higher proportion of the respondents preferred to have their moringa tea and moringa spice/powder packaged in sachets (64.0 percent and 68.7 percent respectively) while 53.4 percent preferred to have their moringa oil packaged in bottles (Table 3).

# Determinants of willingness to pay (WTP) for selected labelled and certified moringa products

The results of the logit models are presented in Table 4. The pseudo R<sup>2</sup> indicates the variation in WTP explained by the independent variables. The chisquare test was used to test the overall goodness-of-fit of the model (that is, to test the null hypothesis that the model did not have greater explanatory power than an "intercept only" model). This hypothesis was rejected in the case of each of the three models estimated, implying that the overall model was significant at 1 percent level. A z-test was used to test the null hypothesis that the associated coefficients are zero. In all the three models, the coefficient associated with attitude towards moringa products (ATTITMOR) is significant for tea (p<0.01), oil (p<0.01) and spice (p <0.05). The coefficients associated with consumption patterns (PATTERN) were significant (with the negative signs) for all the three products [that is, moringa tea (p<0.05), moringa spice (p<0.10) and moringa oil (p<0.05)]. The coefficients associated with attitude towards labelling (ATTITUDELAB)

| al                                       | la certifica morniga   |                |
|--|------------------------|----------------|
|  | Frequency              | Percentage (%) |
|  | (N=150)                |                |
| A. Ranking of importance of safe         |                        |                |
| Most important = 1                       | 30                     | 20.0           |
| 2  | 75                     | 50.0           |
| 3  | 30                     | 20.0           |
| 4  | 8                      | 5.3            |
| 5  | 6                      | 4.0            |
| Least important = 6                      | 1                      | 0.7            |
| B. Labelled and certified moringa pr     |                        |                |
| No                                       | 38                     | 25.3           |
| Yes                                      | 112                    | 74.7           |
| C. Certifications/endorsements pref      |                        |                |
| NAFDAC                                   | 123                    | 82.0           |
| SON                                      | 24                     | 16.0           |
| Others                                   | 3                      | 2.0            |
| D. I read nutrition/certification labels | when I buy moringa pro | oduct          |
| No                                       | 21                     | 14             |
| Yes                                      | 129                    | 86             |
| E. I consume an unlabelled moringa       | a product              |                |
| No                                       | 100                    | 66.7           |
| Yes                                      | 50                     | 33.3           |
| F. Labels affect my purchase price of    | decision               |                |
| No                                       | 55                     | 36.7           |
| Yes                                      | 95                     | 63.3           |
| G. I prefer imported moringa produc      | ts                     |                |
| Na                                       | 88                     | 58.7           |
| Yes                                      | 62                     | 41.3           |
| H. Consumers' WTP for certified Mo       | pringa products        |                |
| 1. Moringa tea                           | 75                     | 50.0           |
| <ol><li>Moringa spice/ powder</li></ol>  | 73                     | 48.7           |
| 3. Moringa oil                           | 75                     | 50             |

Table 2. Respondents' ranking of the importance of safety in purchasing and perception of labelled and certified moringa

NAFDAC = National Agency for Food and Drug Administration and Control; SON = Standard Organisation of Nigeria;

were also significant (with the positive signs) for all the three products [that is, moringa tea (p<0.10), moringa spice (p<0.05)and moringa oil (p<0.10)].

Perception of labelling and certification (PERCEPLAB) was positive and significant for labelled and certified moringa tea (p < 0.10) and oil (p < 0.10). The estimate on the variable attitude towards moringa products (ATTITMOR) was positive in all the three models. Furthermore, attitude towards labelling and certification (ATTITUDELAB) was positive and significant in the three models (moringa tea (p < 0.10); moringa oil (p < 0.10) and moringa spice/powder (p < 0.05). The coefficient of PATTERN was negative in all the three models and significant for moringa spice/powder (p < 0.05). The coefficient of PATTERN was negative in all the three models and significant for moringa oil (p < 0.05).

Consumers' objective knowledge of nutrition and health index (KNOWNH) variable, was only significant for labelled moringa spice/powder (p < 0.10) while subjective knowledge or awareness of moringa products (AWAREM) was significant for moringa oil (p < 0.01). Sex was significant for labelled and certified moringa tea ((p<0.05) and has effect on WTP. Marital status was negative but significant for moringa tea (p<0.05) and moringa oil (p <0.10). Age was positive and significant for labelled and certified moringa tea (p<0.05) and moringa spice/ powder (p<0.10). Household per capita expenditure was positive and significant for labelled and certified moringa spice/powder (p<0.05).

The marginal effect of the logit model which estimated the factors that determines consumers'

willingness to pay for labelled and certified moringa products is presented in Table 10. Only the continuous variables that explain WTP significantly give meaningful explanation of marginal effect after logit. The results shows that a unit increase in age of the respondents will increase their WTP by 0.015 units while a unit change in current consumption habit and purchasing pattern index to a more health conscious one will reduce willingness to pay for labelled and certified moringa tea by 0.2477 units. Also, when consumers change from weak attitude towards moringa product (ATTITMOR), perception of moringa product (PERCEPLAB) and attitudes towards certification and labeling (ATTITUDELAB) to a stronger one, it will increase the respondents' WTP for this product by 0.4036, 0.2298 and 0.5386 units respectively.

For the moringa spice/powder, a naira increase in household per capita monthly expenditure will increase the WTP for this product by 0.1095 unit. A unit change in attitude towards moringa product (ATTITMOR) and attitudes towards certification and labeling (ATTITUDELAB) from a weaker one to a stronger one will increase the respondents' WTP for this product by 0.2472 and 0.5576 units respectively. On the other hand, a unit change in attitude towards moringa product, perception towards labelling and certification and attitudes towards certification and labeling to a stronger one will increase the respondents' WTP for moringa oil by 0.3951, 0.2104 and 0.4619 units respectively.

| Moringa Tea          |                  | Moringa Spice/powder |                  | Moringa Oil          |                  |
|----------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Package              | Percenta<br>ge % | Package              | Percentag<br>e % | Package              | Percentag<br>e % |
| Bottle               | 6.0              | Bag                  | 0.7              | Bottle               | 53.4             |
| Can                  | 0.7              | Bottle               | 11.3             | Can                  | 4.0              |
| Packet               | 1.3              | Can                  | 1.3              | Keg                  | 12.0             |
| Plastic<br>container | 0.7              | Cup                  | 0.7              | Plastic<br>container | 3.3              |
| Sachet               | 64.0             | Nylon                | 0.7              | Sachet               | 23.3             |
| Tea bag              | 22.7             | Plastic container    | 8.7              | Tin                  | 4.0              |
| Tin                  | 4.7              | Sachet               | 68.7             |                      |                  |
|                      |                  | Tin                  | 8.0              |                      |                  |

Table 3. Packaging methods preferred for the moringa products

#### iscussion

Results show that a good proportion of the sampled respondents were in their economic active age with a higher proportion of the selected households being male-headed. The reason for this could be due to the cultural norms and settings of the study area, which makes males the breadwinners and heads of households. This conforms with the findings of Rahji (2002) and Adepoju and Omonona (2009) which revealed that 71.2 percent and 89.44 percent of the respondents were males respectively. Most of the consumers were literate and gainfully employed which suggests that the consumers were likely to comprehend of the importance of labeling and certification of moringa products and be willing to pay premiums for them.

Furthermore, people's perception of risk associated with food products goes beyond their own personal health and covers wider beliefs about the intrinsic worth or demerits of technological process used to produce them. This explains the no-safe-product attitude of some respondents, implying that they will not buy labelled and certified moringa products despite the health and safety benefits attached to them. Consumers' perceptions and attitudes may influence the decision making process and purchasing behavior of each individual. Perceptions signify the formation of an individual state of mental awareness which is influenced by internal and external environmental stimuli such as economic, social and cultural influences. Conversely, attitudes are internal responses, which are partially affective in nature and considered to be continuing evaluations of objects, issues or persons (Radam et al., 2010). The results showed that the more positive consumers' perception of labelling and certification is, the more likely they would be willing to pay a premium for labelled and certified moringa tea and oil. This supports the findings of Jeddi and Zaiem (2010) that better perception of labelling has positive effect on consumers' purchase

patterns and willingness to pay. Furthermore, ceteris paribus, a person with a more favourable attitude towards moringa products will be more willing to pay a premium for labelling and certification of the three products. This corroborates the findings of Bech-Larsen and Grunert (2003) which found that the Finnish respondents were more positive about functional foods and were more willing to pay for the foods than the Danish respondents. It is also follows the findings of Munene's (2006) that Americans with more favourable attitude towards functional foods were more willing to pay more for functional foods. Positive consumers' attitude towards labelling and certification is likely to enhance willingness to pay a premium for the labelled and certified moringa products.

Current consumption patterns were measured using a set of six items that sought to determine how health-conscious the respondent is regarding purchasing and consumption of different food items. These items were measured on a scale of 0 (never health-conscious) to 4 (always healthconscious) and were averaged to create a composite index (PATTERN). The a priori expectation is that the effect of current consumption behaviour and purchase patterns on willingness to pay for labelled and certified moringa products may be positive or negative. For instance, a customer who purchases organic products or conventional dietary supplements may have a negative attitude towards moringa products, while a customer that does not buy organic products or conventional dietary supplements but is health-conscious may have a positive attitude and be more willing to pay a premium for moringa products. The result implies that consumers' who are less health conscious and in their purchasing patterns and can go for any other products apart from their regular products are the ones who will likely be willing to pay a premium for labelled and certified moringa products.

The a priori expectation is that the more knowledge an individual has about moringa

| Variables      |                 | Теа                | Spice/pc    |                    | Oil         |                    |
|----------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|
|                | Coefficien<br>t | Marginal<br>Effect | Coefficient | Marginal<br>Effect | Coefficient | Marginal<br>Effect |
| SEX            | -0.7231*        | -0.1788*           | -0.5229     | -0.1297            | -0.3624     | -0.0903            |
|                | (0.4213)        | (0.1019)           | (0.4075)    | (0.0998)           | (0.4058)    | (0.1006)           |
| MARISTAT       | -1.6001**       | -0.3645**          | -0.7826     | -0.1921            | -1.3499*    | -0.3155*           |
|                | (0.7636)        | (0.1449)           | (0.7146)    | (0.1685)           | (0.7422)    | (0.1517)           |
| AGE            | 0.0601*         | 0.0150*            | 0.0343      | 0.0086             | 0.0485      | 0.0121             |
|                | (0.0344)        | (0.0086)           | (0.0319)    | (0.0079)           | (0.0331)    | (0.0083)           |
| EDUCAT         | -0.2719         | -0.0676            | -0.7943     | -0.1925            | 0.1664      | 0.0415             |
| EBOORI         | (0.8223)        | (0.2025)           | (0.7717)    | (0.1742)           | (0.7685)    | (0.1911)           |
| HHSIZE         | 0.0446          | 0.0111             | -0.0553     | -0.0138            | 0.0593      | 0.0148             |
|                | (0.1619)        | (0.0405)           | (0.1590)    | (0.0397)           | (0.1564)    | (0.0391)           |
| PRICE          | -0.2669         | -0.0667            | -0.0029     | -0.0007            | -0.1879     | -0.0469            |
| FILCE          | (0.1936)        | (0.0484)           | (0.1809)    | (0.0452)           | (0.1872)    | (0.0469)           |
| HHMPCEXDIS     | 0.1414          | 0.0353             | 0.4385**    | 0.1095**           | 0.1251      | 0.0313             |
| T              |                 |                    |             |                    |             |                    |
|                | (0.2203)        | (0.0551)<br>0.3034 | (0.2217)    | (0.0554)<br>0.3348 | (0.2151)    | (0.0538)<br>0.0874 |
| KNOWNH         | 1.2134          |                    | 1.3406      |                    | 0.3496      |                    |
|                | (0.9536)        | (0.2384)           | (0.9379)    | (0.2343)           | (0.9166)    | (0.2291)           |
| AWAREM         | -0.7161         | -0.1790            | 0.0638      | 0.0159             | -0.7616     | -0.1904            |
|                | (0.4973)        | (0.1243)           | (0.4746)    | (0.1185)           | (0.4853)    | (0.1213)           |
| HHISTORY       | 0.0068          | 0.0017             | -0.3283     | -0.0819            | 0.5761      | 0.1440             |
|                | (0.7778)        | (0.1945)           | (0.7409)    | (0.1850)           | (0.7375)    | (0.1844)           |
| PERCEPMOR      | 0.0594          | 0.0149             | -0.3579     | -0.0894            | -0.2346     | -0.0587            |
|                | (0.4408)        | (0.1102)           | (0.4260)    | (0.1064)           | (0.4343)    | (0.1086)           |
| PATTERN        | -0.9908**       | -0.2477***         | -0.7009*    | -0.1751*           | -0.8997**   | -0.2249*           |
|                | (0.4209)        | (0.1052)           | (0.3911)    | (0.0976)           | (0.3936)    | (0.0984)           |
| ATTITMOR       | 1.6143***       | 0.4063***          | 0.9897**    | 0.2472**           | 1.5802***   | 0.3951**           |
|                | (0.4407)        | (0.1102)           | (0.3904)    | (0.0975)           | (0.4316)    | (0.1079)           |
| PERCEPLAB      | 0.9481*         | 0.2298**           | 0.5904      | 0.1449             | 0.8639*     | 0.2104*            |
|                | (0.4926)        | (0.1122)           | (0.4673)    | (0.1115)           | (0.4752)    | (0.1098)           |
| ATTITUDELAB    | 2.1543*         | 0.5386***          | 2.2325**    | 0.5576**           | 1.8478*     | 0.4619*            |
|                | (1.1086)        | (0.2772)           | (1.0628)    | (0.2653)           | (1.0675)    | (0.2669)           |
| CONSTANT       | -4.9971*        |                    | -4.6934*    |                    | -3.6065     |                    |
|                | (2.7084)        |                    | (2.5941)    |                    | (2.5935)    |                    |
| N              | 150             |                    | 150         |                    | 150         |                    |
| Pseudo R-      | 0.2255          |                    | 0.1859      |                    | 0.1877      |                    |
| square         |                 |                    |             |                    |             |                    |
| Log likelihood | -80.5279        |                    | -84,6054    |                    | -84,4613    |                    |
| LR chř (15)    | 46.89           |                    | 38.63       |                    | 39.02       |                    |
| Prob> chi-     | 0.0000          |                    | 0.0007      |                    | 0.0006      |                    |
| square         |                 |                    |             |                    |             |                    |

Table 4. Results of logit regression analysis of consumers' WTP for labelled and certified moringa products

\*\*\* = significant at 1%; \*\* = significant at 5%; \* = significant at 10%. Figures in parentheses are standard errors.

products, the more accepting and therefore the more willing that individual would be to pay a premium for labelled and certified moringa products. The results, however, showed a negative coefficient associated with this variable regarding willingness to pay for labelled and certified moringa tea and oil. A possible explanation for the unexpected sign is the quality of information that the consumer has about moringa products. Consumer negativity towards the genetic modification of food products is a possible obstruction to acceptance of specific functional foods. Sometimes, seemingly conflicting healthy-eating information to consumers may also be a hindrance (Frewer et al., 2003). For instance an individual who knows that oil is not good for the body finds moringa oil confusing. These arguments agree with the proposition of Wansink (2005) that how much a person knows about functional foods is less important than what a person knows about them.

The influence of the demographic variables on willingness to pay depends on the type of product, which is comparable with the results of De Jong *et al.* (2003) study of demographic and lifestyle characteristics of functional food consumers. The logit results suggest that male consumers, especially the unmarried, were more willing to pay for labelled and certified moringa tea and oil than married ones. Older consumers were also likely to be more willing to pay a premium for labelled and certified moringa tea and powder than the younger ones which might be owing to the nutrition consciousness of the aging consumers and the need to resort to natural sources

of micronutrients; and this conforms to the findings of Millock (2003). Increase in household per capita monthly expenditure would increase the likelihood the willingness to pay a premium for labelled and certified moringa spice

### Conclusions

This study assessed consumers' willingness to pay for three labelled and certified moringa products: Moringa tea, Moringa spice powder and Moringa oil. The results showed that attitude towards moringa products, perception of moringa product were positively related to WTP for labelled and certified moringa products. The study also revealed a low level of awareness of moringa products among the consumers probably owing to the quality of information they had about the products. Thus, intensification of awareness and sensitization programs would enhance effective move towards certification and standardization of the moringa products. Campaign and orientation programs to improve consumers' perception and attitudes towards labelling and certification should also target the men folks and the singles with different promotional and sales programs.

The positive relationship between WTP (for moringa tea and powder) and age suggests that the marketers of these products should target the aging population, owing to their nutrition consciousness. Any policy that will increase the per capita monthly expenditure (such as increasing the minimum

| Variables                             | Variable name | Expected sign | References                      |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------------------|
| Age                                   | AGE           | Positive or   | Adesope et al., 2010            |
|                                       |               | Negative      | Millock <i>et al.</i> , 2003    |
|                                       |               |               | Childs and Poryzees, 1997       |
| Marital status                        | MARISTAT      | Negative or   | De Jong <i>et al</i> ., 2003    |
|                                       |               | Positive      | Gil <i>et al.,</i> 2000         |
| Sex                                   | SEX           | Positive      | Adesope <i>et al.,</i> 2010     |
|                                       |               |               | Childs and Poryzees, 1997       |
| Household size                        | HHSIZE        | Positive or   | Yusuf <i>et al.,</i> 2011       |
|                                       |               | Negative      | Ghorbani and Hamraz, 2009       |
| Level of education                    | EDUCAT        | Positive      | Adesope <i>et al.</i> , 2010    |
|                                       |               |               | Munene, 2006                    |
| Price                                 | PRICE         | Positive      | Adesope et al., 2010            |
| Per capita monthly                    | HHMPCEXDIST   | Positive      | Gil et al., 2000                |
| expenditure                           |               |               |                                 |
| Awareness of                          | AWAREM        | Positive      | Munene,(2006                    |
| moringa products                      |               |               |                                 |
| index                                 |               |               |                                 |
| Perception of                         | PERCEPMOR     | Positive      | Maynard and Franklin, 2003      |
| moringa products                      |               |               | Moon and Balasubramanian.       |
| index                                 |               |               | 2004                            |
| Current                               | PATTERN       | Positive or   | Munene, 2006                    |
| consumption &                         |               | negative      |                                 |
| purchasing pattern                    |               | noguno        |                                 |
| index                                 |               |               |                                 |
| Attitude towards                      | ATTITMOR      | Positive      | Munene, 2006                    |
| moringa products                      | ATTIMOR       | Positive      | Berch-Larsen and Grunert.       |
| index                                 |               |               | 2003                            |
| Perception of                         | PERCEPLAB     | Positive      | Lacaze <i>et al.</i> , 2009     |
| labelling index                       | I LIVOLF DAB  | 1 Ostuve      | Jeddi and Zaiem, 2009           |
| Attitude towards                      | ATTITUDELAB   | Positive      | Quagrainle <i>et al.</i> , 1998 |
|                                       | ATTITODELAB   | Positive      | Lacaze et al., 2009             |
| labelling and<br>certifications index |               |               | Lacaze er al., 2009             |
|                                       | HHISTORY      | Positive      | Musese 2006                     |
| Health history of                     | HHISTORY      | Positive      | Munene, 2006                    |
| consumers                             | KNOMMUL       |               | Louviere <i>et al.</i> , 2000   |
| Knowledge of                          | KNOWNH        | Positive      | Adesope <i>et al.,</i> 2010     |
| Nutrition and                         |               |               | Maynard and Franklin, 2003      |
| health                                |               |               |                                 |

Appendix I. Variable definitions and apriori expectations

wage and birth control measures) will enhance the likelihood of consumers' willingness to pay for labelled and certified moringa spice. In order to maximize profit, it is recommended that marketers should package moringa tea and spices in satchets; and moringa oil in kegs.

#### References

- Adepoju, A.A. and Omonona, B.T. 2009. Determinants of willingness to pay for improved water supply in Osogbo Metropolis; Osun State, Nigeria. Research Journal of Social Sciences 4: 1-6.
- Adesope, A. A. A., Awoyemi, T. T., Falusi A. O. and Omonona, B. T. 2010. Willingness to pay for safety labels on sugar and vegetable oil among households in south-western Nigeria. Journal of Agricultural and Social Research 10(1): 156-166.
- Anjorin, T. B., Ikokoh, P. and Okolo, S. 2010. Mineral composition of Moringa oleifera leaves, pods and seeds from two regions in Abuja, Nigeria. International Journal of Agricultural Biology 12: 431-434.
- Branka, T. and Kelly, G. 2001. Contingent valuation willingness to pay with respect to geographically nested sample: A case study of Alaskan Steller sea lion. Western Regional Project Technical Meeting Proceedings W–133: 2–4.
- Caswell, J. A. and Mojduszka, E. M. 1996. Using informational labelling to influence the market for quality in food products. American Journal of Agricultural Economics 78: 1248-1253.
- Caswell, J. A. and Padberg, D. I. 1992. Towards a more comprehensive theory of food labels. American

Journal of Agricultural Economics 74(2): 460-468.

- De Jong, N., Ocké, M. C., Branderhorst, H. A. C. and Friele, R. 2003. Demographic and lifestyle characteristics of functional food consumers and dietary supplement users. British Journal of Nutrition 89: 273-281.
- El-Awady, A. 2003. The moringa tree: Nature's pharmacy. http://www.islamonline.net/english science/ 2003/02 article06.shtml (download20/02/2013).
- Fahey, J. 2005. Moringa oleifera: A Review of the medicinal evidence for its nutritional, therapeutic, and prophylactic properties. Part 1. Trees for Life Journal 1:5.
- Fiedler, J. L. and Macdonald, B. 2009. A strategic approach to the unfinished fortification agenda: Feasibility, costs, and cost-effectiveness analysis of fortification programs in 48 countries. Food and Nutrition Bulletin 30 (4): 283-311.
- Frewer, L., Scholderer, J. and Lambert, N. 2003. Consumer acceptance of functional foods: issues for the future. British Food Journal 105(10): 714-731.
- Fuglie, L. J. 2001. Combating malnutrition with moringa: Development potentials for moringa products. October 29th –November 2nd 2001, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania.
- Gil, J. M., Gracia, A. and Sanchez, M. 2000. Market segmentation and willingness to pay for organic products in Spain. International Food and Agribusiness Management Review 3: 207-226.
- Hollingsworth, P. 2001. Margarine: The over-the-top functional food. Food Technology 55(1): 59-62.
- House, L. Lusk, J. Jaeger, S. Traill, W. B. Moore, M. Valli, C. Morrow, B. and Yee, W. M. S. 2004. Objective and subjective knowledge: Impacts on consumer demand for genetically modified foods in the United States and the European Union. AgBio Forum 7(3):113-123.
- Marcu, M. G. 2005. Miracle tree. KOS Health Publications,

USA., ISBN-13: 9781583083963.

- Mark-Herbert, C. 2003. Development and marketing strategies for functional foods. AgBioForum 6(1&2): 75-78.
- Mitchell, A. 2010. A more intelligent approach is needed to fight against global malnutrition. Retrieved from http://www.guardian.co.uk/global-development/ poverty-matters/2010/oct/15/malnutrition-intelligentapproach-andrew-mitchell.
- Millock, K. 2003. Willingness to pay for organic foods: A comparison between survey data and panel data from Denmark, Paper Presented at the 12<sup>th</sup> annual EAERE (European Association of Environmental and Resource Economists) Conference, June2003, Monterey, USA.
- Moon, W. and Balasubramanian, S. K. 2004. Public attitudes toward agrobiotechnology: The mediating role of risk perceptions on the impact of trust, awareness, and outrage. Review of Agricultural Economics 26(2): 186-208.
- Munene, C. N. 2006. Analysis of consumers' attitude and their willingness to pay for functional foods. Department of Agricultural Economics and Agribusiness management, Louisiana state University, U.S.A.
- Nwaiwu, N. E. and Lingmu, B. 2012. Studies on the effect of settling time on coliform reduction using Moringa oleifera seed powder. Journal of Applied Sciences in Environmental Sanitation 6 (3): 279-286.
- Ogbe, A.O. and Affiku, J.P. 2012. Proximate study, mineral and anti-nutrient composition of moringa oleifera leaves harvested from Lafia, Nigeria: potential benefits in poultry nutrition and health. Journal of Microbiology, Biotechnology and Food Sciences 1(3): 296-308.
- Ogbunugafor, H.A., Eneh, F.U., Ozumba, A.N., Igwo-Ezikpe, M.N., Okpuzor, J., Igwilo, I.O., Adenekan, S.O. and Onyekwelu, O.A. 2011. Physico-chemical and antioxidant properties of Moringa oleifera seed oil. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition 10: 409-414.
- Oluduro, A.O. 2012. Evaluation of antimicrobial properties and nutritional potentials of Moringa oleifera Lam. leaf in South-Western Nigeria. Malaysian Journal of Microbiology 8(2): 59-67
- Radam, A., Yacob, M. R. and Bee, T. S. 2010. Consumers' perceptions, attitudes and willingness to pay towards food products with "No added Msg" Labeling. International Journal of Marketing Studies 2(1): 65-77.
- Rhaji, M.A.Y. 2002. Household solid waste management systems in some areas of Ibadan: An application of the contingent valuation method. Nigeria Journal of Ecology 4(1): 41-49.
- Thilza, L. B., Sanni, S., Zakari, A. I., Sanni, F. S., Talle, M. and Joseph, B. M. 2010. In- vitro antimicrobial activity of water extract of Moringa oleifera leaf stalk on bacteria normally implicated in eye diseases. Academia Arena 2(6): 80-82.
- Torimiro, D. O., Odeyinka, S. M., Okorie, V. O. and Akinsuyi, M. A. 2009. Gender analysis of socio-

cultural perception of Moringa oleifera amongst farmers in Southwestern Nigeria. Journal of International Women's Studies 10 (4): 188-202.

- Uchendu, F. N. 2011. Micronutrient malnutrition, a tragedy to childhood growth and education. Global Journal of Medical Research 11(1): 27-34.
- United Nations Children's Fund, World Health Organization, The World Bank 2012. UNICEFWHO-World Bank Joint Child Malnutrition Estimates. (UNICEF, New York; WHO, Geneva; The World Bank, Washington, DC).
- Wansink, B. 2005. Marketing nutrition: Soy, functional foods, biotechnology, and obsesity. University of Illinois Press, Urbana and Chicago.
- Yusuf, S. A, Ojo, O. T. and Salimonu, K. K. 2005. Determinant of willingness to pay for improved household solid waste management in Ibadan North Local Government Area, Oyo State. Research Journal of Applied Sciences 2 (3):233-239